



#### The Pliocene and IPCC -How does the Pliocene inform the future?

Dan Lunt

(1) The IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)

(2) How can the past (Pliocene) inform the future?

(3) Climate sensitivity in the Pliocene

- (a) Direct estimates of climate sensitivity
- (b) Emergent constraints
- (c) Earth system sensitivity

#### (4) Ways forward

## **IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)**

- Created in 1988, the objective of the IPCC is to provide governments at all levels with scientific information that they can use to develop climate policies.
- Its role is to assess the scientific, technical and socio-economic literature relevant to understanding climate change, its impacts and future risks, and options for adaptation and mitigation.
- Working Group I deals with The Physical Science Basis of Climate Change, Working Group II with Climate Change Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability and Working Group III with Mitigation of Climate Change.
- 6<sup>th</sup> Assessment report (AR6) due 2021 (WG1). First Order Draft due 7<sup>th</sup> April!







## **IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change)**



#### Box 1: Outline of the WGI AR6

Summary for Policy Makers Technical Summary

- Chapter 1: Framing, context, methods
- Chapter 2: Changing state of the climate system
- Chapter 3: Human influence on the climate system
- Chapter 4: Future global climate: scenario-based projections and near-term information
- Chapter 5: Carbon budgets, biogeochemical cycles and feedbacks
- Chapter 6: Short-lived climate forcers and air quality
- Chapter 7: The Earth's energy budget, climate feedbacks, and climate sensitivity
- Chapter 8: Water cycle changes
- Chapter 9: Ocean, cryosphere, and sea level change
- Chapter 10: Linking global to regional climate change
- Chapter 11: Weather and climate extreme events in a changing climate
- Chapter 12: Climate change information for regional impact and risk assessment

Each chapter is expected to build on all available lines of evidence, including:

• paleoclimatic evidence;



#### How can the past inform the future?

- Past climates provide a window on a world very different to our own. If the drivers of past change are similar to those in the future, the past time period may be an **analogue** for future change...
- Past climates provide geological data that we can use to test our climate models that are used for future projection.
- Past climates allow us to **quantify** key metrics such as climate sensitivity.
- Past climates allow us to estimate **long-term changes** that cannot be simulated with models.









• Past climates provide a window on a world very different to our own. If the drivers of past change are similar to those in the future, the past time period may be an **analogue** for future change...



Foster et al, 2017

Past climates provide a window on a world very different to our own. If the drivers of past change are similar to those in the future, the past time period may be an **analogue** for future change...



Salzmann et al, 2008

• Past climates provide a window on a world very different to our own. If the drivers of past change are similar to those in the future, the past time period may be an **analogue** for future change...

2020 RCP45 Closest Geohistorical Analog for Future Climates (HadCM)



• Past climates provide geological data that we can use to **test** our climate models that are used for future projection.



• Past climates provide geological data that we can use to **test** our climate models that are used for future projection.





Past climates allow us to **quantify** key metrics such as climate sensitivity.

# "Climate Sensitivity"

- Equilibrium global mean near-surface air temperature increase given a doubling of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>
- High-profile metric because with it, we can answer questions such as:
  - "I want to limit warming to 1.5 °C; what CO<sub>2</sub> concentration is allowed"
  - "I think CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations will reach 1200 ppmv by the year 2100, what will the warming be? "
  - [also an input to many economic and impact models]

Huge effort to characteris	se
this number	

#### And IPCC....

	Wetherald 1975)	coupled OAGCM with highly idealized land and o
IPCC Assessment Report	"Likely" Range[°C]	Best Estimate [°C]
FAR (1990)	1.5 – 4.5	2.5
SAR (1995)	1.5 – 4.5	2.5
TAR (2001)	1.5 – 4.5	2.5
AR4 (2007)	2.0 – 4.5	3.0
AR5 (2013)	1.5 – 4.5	Not given
AR6 (2021?)	??	??

Publication	Equilibrium sensitivity to CO <sub>2</sub> doubling	Remarks		
(Arrhenius, 1896a)	5-6°C	2-D (zonal and vertical) radiative transfer model		
(Hulburt 1931)	4°C	Unnoticed until 1960s due to general rejection of CO <sub>2</sub> theory; Callendar unaware of Hulburt's work until about 1942		
(Callendar 1938)	1.5°C	1-D radiative transfer model; CO <sub>2</sub> doubling not mentioned in text, but appears in graph; no convection		
(Callendar 1949)	2.1°C	Revised version of his 1938 calculations; CO <sub>2</sub> doubling explicitly mentioned		
(Plass 1956b)	3.8°C	1-D radiative transfer model; no convection or water vapor feedback		
(Möller 1963)	1.5-9.6°C	1-D surface energy balance model; combined H20 and CO <sub>2</sub> absorption reduces overall warming, but water vapor feedback produces "almost arbitrary temperature changes"		
(Conservation Foundation 1963)	2.0°C cloudy; 3.8°C clear- sky	Consensus statement by E Ericksson, G Plass, C Keeling, others		
(Manabe and Wetherald 1967)	2.4°C	1-D radiative-convective model; humidity and cloudiness levels strongly influence CO <sub>2</sub> effects		
(Manabe 1970)	1.9°C	Revised version of Manabe & Wetherald 1967 1-D radiative- convective model; sensitivity is for "average" cloudiness		
(Rasool and Schneider 1971)	0.8°C	1-D radiation balance model with fixed relative humidity and cloudiness		
(Manabe and Wetherald 1975)	2.9°C	First use of a GCM to simulate effects of CO <sub>2</sub> doubling; coupled OAGCM with highly idealized land and ocean		
[°C]	Be	est Estimate [°C]		
2.5				



**TFE.6, Figure 1** | Probability density functions, distributions and ranges for equilibrium climate sensitivity, based on Figure 10.20b plus climatological constraints shown in IPCC AR4 (Box AR4 10.2 Figure 1), and results from CMIP5 (Table 9.5). The grey shaded range marks the *likely* 1.5°C to 4.5°C range, grey solid line the *extremely unlikely* less than 1°C, the grey dashed line the *very unlikely* greater than 6°C. See Figure 10.20b and Chapter 10 Supplementary Material for full caption and details. {Box 12.2, Figure 1}

*IPCC, 2013* 

(1) Direct estimates

Estimate CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations of the Pliocene, and estimate global mean temperature, we can estimate Climate Sensitivity. Potentially very powerful!





Martinez-Boti et al, 2015

#### (2) Emergent constraints

Carry out multiple Pliocene simulations with different models, and multiple future simulations with the same models.

Is there a relationship between the ability of models to correctly predict the past, and their climate sensitivity in the future?

9 2 Equilibrium sensitivity c 92 0 ف  $\sim$ Observed value (5-95 %) Hargreaves and Annan, 2016 0 3 5

Mid-Pliocene Tropical ocean SST anomaly

"likely" range 2.35-3.25 °C



Past climates allow us to estimate **long-term changes** that cannot be simulated with models.



Long-term feedbacks associated with vegetation and ice increase climate sensitivity by ~50% on "long" timescales.

Lunt et al, 2010

## Ways forward

**Better models** 

 $\geq$ 

> Move towards a smaller window of time in the Pliocene

a

GDGT-0

GDGT-1

GDGT-2

GDGT-3

Crenarcha

Crenarch

- More independent geological data
  - regioner with the wit

n/z 1300

m/z 1296

renarchaeol

TEX<sub>86</sub> = 0.705

GDGT-2

GDGT-0

More robust statistics for model-data comparisons





